

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,868	02/26/2002	Gregg S. Sutton	. CRD-0991	CRD-0991 1377	
27777 75	590 08/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PHILIP S. JOHNSON JOHNSON & JOHNSON			THALER, MICHAEL H .		
ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			3731		

DATE MAILED: 08/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summer	10/083,868	SUTTON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Thaler	3731	-
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONET	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 At</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and all accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed and accomposed	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)	A □ 1-4 1 2	(DTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte	-152)

Art Unit: 3731

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 1, 2005 has been entered.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaganov et al. (5,876,367) in view of Gilson et al. (6,726,701) and Engelson et al. (5,423,849). Kaganov et al., in figure 2, disclose housing 10 having a proximal end (the right end) comprising a connector (the proximal balloon 35 shown in figure 6 and which is disclosed as being included in the figure 2 embodiment in col. 10, lines 40-44) adapted to connect to a hose (i.e. the blood vessel. Alternatively, balloon 35 is inherently capable of being connected to a man-made hose either inside or outside the blood vessel. The hose could be outside the blood vessel since the proximal ends of both tubular members 10 and 14 could be located outside the blood vessel while the distal end of the shunt is inside the blood vessel) and a distal end comprising a distal member (the left branch of housing 10) having two openings 13, 18, wherein the housing comprises a

Art Unit: 3731

first lumen 11 and a second lumen 15 extending from a port 16 to an opening 18 and vascular filter system 41, 42 comprising a filter membrane support structure (e.g. the umbrella frame described in col. 9, lines 64-67) and a filter membrane having openings and having fibers extending therefrom (the threads which make up the filter, noting the term "thread" in col. 8, line 1 and that threads, by definition, include fibers and noting that these fibers extend from the openings in a direction away from the openings). Kaganov et al. fail to disclose the openings as having variable diameters with respect to each other. However, Gilson et al. teach that the diameters of the openings for a blood filter vary with respect to each other (noting the term "average" in the phrase "average diameter" in col. 12, line 40 which indicates varying diameters). arrangement has the apparent advantage of permitting easy manufacture of the openings by not requiring them to be perfectly identical in size, thus permitting a wide tolerance of the size. It would have been obvious to permit the Kaganov et al. openings to have variable diameters with respect to each other so that it too would have this advantage. Kaganov et al. fail to disclose one or more fibers attached to the filter membrane adjacent to the circumference of the holes. Engelson et al. teach that fibers (144 or 152) should be

Art Unit: 3731

attached to a porous material in order to better capture and blood clots. It would have been obvious to attach fibers to the Kaganov et al. filter so that it too would have this advantage. The Kaganov et al. system is inherently capable of being used as a cardiopulmonary bypass filter system. As to claim 2, the Kaganov et al. system is inherently capable of being connected to a cardiopulmonary bypass bypass machine by for example, connecting the right end of tube 10 to it via a hose. claims 3 and 4, Kaganov et al. disclose distal flange (the distal balloon 35 shown in figure 6 and which is disclosed as being included in the figure 2 embodiment in col. 10, lines 40-As to claim 7, Kaganov et al. fail to disclose the 44). openings being non-uniformly spaced. However, Gilson et al. teach that openings in a blood filter should be so spaced in order to optimize the filtering process (col. 13, lines 18-27). It would have been obvious to make the openings of the Kaganov et al. filter non-uniformly spaced so that it too would have this advantage.

Applicant's arguments filed August 1, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael

Application/Control Number: 10/083,868 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

Thaler whose telephone number is (571)272-4704. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

mht 8/10/05 MICHAEL THALER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3731